

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOMINIQUE ZAFIR CASEY,
Plaintiff,
v.
HADDAD, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-00855-NODJ-SKO (PC)

**ORDER FINDING RE-SERVICE
APPROPRIATE AS TO CERTAIN
DEFENDANTS**

Plaintiff Dominique Zafir Casey is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

I. INTRODUCTION

Following screening of Plaintiff's first amended complaint, this action proceeds on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment excessive force claims against Defendants Castillo, Castro and Fernandez, and failure to intervene claims against Defendants Agatar and Haddad. (*See* Doc. 29.)

On January 22, 2024, this Court issued its Order Finding Service Appropriate. (Doc. 30.) Service was to be effected on Defendants Agatar, Castillo, Castro, Fernandez and Haddad. (*Id.* at 1-2.) On January 23, 2024, a Notice of Intent to Waive Service was filed as to Defendants Castro, Fernandez and Haddad. (Doc. 32.) That same date, a Notice of Intent Not to Waive Service was filed as to Defendants Agatar and Castillo, as those individuals could not be

1 identified. (Doc. 33.) On January 30, 2024, summonses were returned unexecuted as to
 2 Defendants Agatar and Castillo. The United States Marshal stated the litigation coordinator at
 3 Kern Valley State Prison (“KVSP”) could not identify these individuals as correctional officers
 4 employed at KVSP with the information provided. (Doc. 34.)

5 On February 2, 2024, the Court issued its Order To Show Cause (“OSC”) Why
 6 Defendants Agatar And Castillo Should Not Be Dismissed From This Action For Failure To
 7 Provide Sufficient Information To Effectuate Service. (Doc. 35.) Plaintiff was ordered to file a
 8 response to the OSC within 30 days, or, alternatively, to provide additional information to assist
 9 the United States Marshal in effecting service of process. (*Id.* at 2-3.)

10 On February 22, 2024, Defendants Castro, Fernandez, and Haddad filed waivers of
 11 service;¹ responsive pleadings by Castro, Fernandez, and Haddad are to be filed no later than
 12 March 25, 2024. (Doc. 36.) On February 26, 2024, Plaintiff responded to the OSC by providing
 13 additional information concerning named Defendants Agatar and Castillo. (Doc. 38.)

14 II. DISCUSSION

15 Plaintiff provides the following additional information concerning Defendants Agatar and
 16 Castillo:

- 17 1. Plaintiff describes “C.O. Agatar” as a Hispanic male, about 50 years of age,
 18 approximately 5’9” and 200 pounds. Plaintiff states Agatar “worked as plaintiff[‘s]
 19 building C.O. at the time of the incident;” and
- 20 2. Plaintiff describes “C.O. Castillo” as a Hispanic male, about 40 years of age,
 21 approximately 5’5” and 200 pounds. Plaintiff states Castillo was often “the [patio]
 22 C.O. at the time of the incident.”

23 (Doc. 38.) The incident giving rise to Plaintiff’s claims occurred on March 2, 2020. (*See Doc. 25*
 24 at 4, n.1.) Given this additional information, the Court will order further efforts be undertaken to
 25 serve these defendants.

26 III. CONCLUSION AND ORDER

27 ¹ Defense counsel clarified on March 1, 2024, that the waiver of service filed February 22, 2024, applied
 28 only to Defendants Castro, Fernandez, and Haddad, despite the text of the docket entry including Agatar
 and Haddad. (*See Docs. 37 [minute order] & 39 [response].*)

1 Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS**:

2 1. Service shall be effected on the following:

3 a. **Agatar**, allegedly employed as a correctional officer at KVSP on March 2,
4 2020, described as a Hispanic male, about 50 years of age, approximately 5'9"
5 and 200 pounds, and assigned as the "building C.O." where Plaintiff was
6 housed on March 2, 2020; and

7 b. **Castillo**, allegedly employed as a correctional officer at KVSP on March 2,
8 2020, described as a Hispanic male, about 40 years of age, approximately 5'5"
9 and 200 pounds, and frequently assigned as "[patio] C.O." where Plaintiff was
10 housed on March 2, 2020.

11 2. The Clerk of the Court SHALL provide the United States Marshal with a copy of the
12 first amended complaint, the summons, Plaintiff's response filed February 26, 2024
13 (Doc. 38) and this order.

14 3. The United States Marshal SHALL serve process of the first amended complaint, the
15 summons, and this order upon Defendants Agatar and Castillo pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.
16 P. 4 and 28 U.S.C. § 566(c). Within 10 days of personal service, the United States
17 Marshal shall file the return of service, along with any costs subsequently incurred in
18 effecting service. These costs shall be enumerated on the USM-285 form and shall
19 include the costs incurred by the United States Marshal for photocopying the
20 summons and first amended complaint and for preparing new USM-285 forms, if
21 required. Costs of service will be taxed against each personally served defendant in
22 accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2).

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: March 4, 2024

25 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE